arXiv:1203.6500v1 [math.NT] 29 Mar 2012

On the Lang–Trotter and Sato–Tate Conjectures on Average for Polynomial Families of Elliptic Curves

Igor E. Shparlinski
Department of Computing, Macquarie University
Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
`igor.shparlinski@mq.edu.au`

March 1, 2013

Abstract

We show that the reductions modulo primes $p \leqslant x$ of the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + f(a)X + g(b),$$

behave as predicted by the Lang–Trotter and Sato–Tate conjectures, on average over integers $a \in [-A, A]$ and $b \in [-B, B]$ for A and B reasonably small compared to x, provided that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. For $f(T) = g(T) = T$ first results of this kind are due to É. Fouvry and M. R. Murty and have been further extended by other authors. Our technique is different from that of É. Fouvry and M. R. Murty which does not seem to work in the case of general polynomials f and g.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

For a prime p, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_p$ the finite field with p elements.

Given an elliptic curve $\mathbf{E}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a prime p we use $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to denote the set of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points of the reduction of $\mathbf{E}$ modulo p, provided that p does not divide the discriminant $\Delta(\mathbf{E})$ of $\mathbf{E}$, together with a point at infinity. This set forms an *abelian group* under an appropriate composition rule and satisfies the *Hasse bound*:

$$|\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) - p - 1| \leqslant 2\sqrt{p}, \tag{1}$$

see [35] for a background on elliptic curves.

Accordingly, we denote by $\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}(\mathbf{E}, t; x)$ the number of primes $3 < p \leqslant x$ (with $p \nmid \Delta(\mathbf{E})$) for which $\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$. The *Lang–Trotter conjecture* asserts that if $\mathbf{E}$ does not have complex multiplication, then the asymptotic formula

$$\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}(\mathbf{E}, t; x) \sim c(\mathbf{E}, t)\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{2}$$

holds for some constant $c(\mathbf{E}, t) \geqslant 0$ depending only on $\mathbf{E}$ and t.

Since the Lang–Trotter conjecture (2) remains widely open, see [13, 14, 15, 26, 28, 29, 30, 32, 37], it is natural to obtain its analogues "on average" over various interesting families of curves. For example, for integers a and b such that $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$, we denote by $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}$ the elliptic curve defined by the *affine Weierstraß equation*:

$$\mathbf{E}_{a,b} \ : \ Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b.$$

and put

$$\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{a,b}(t; x) = \Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}(\mathbf{E}_{a,b}, t; x).$$

Fouvry and Murty [17] have initiated the study of $\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{a,b}(t; x)$ and similar quantities on average and shown that the asymptotic formula

$$\frac{1}{4AB}\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\sum_{|b|\leqslant B}\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{a,b}(t; x) \sim C(t)\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{3}$$

holds for $t = 0$ (with $C(0) = \pi/3$) in the range

$$AB \geqslant x^{3/2+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{A, B\} \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}, \tag{4}$$

for arbitrary fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ (for $4a^3 + 27b^2 = 0$ we define $\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{a,b}(t; x) = 0$). David and Pappalardi [11] have proved that (3) holds for any fixed t, with some explicit constant $C(t) > 0$ depending only on t, but in a smaller range than (4). This range has been expanded to the original level described by the conditions (4) by Baier [2]. Finally, Baier [3] has obtained (3) in an even wider range

$$AB \geqslant x^{3/2+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{A, B\} \geqslant x^{\varepsilon}$$

(in this range the term with $ab = 0$ must be eliminated from the summation in (3) as their contribution may exceed the main term).

Furthermore, for an elliptic curve $\mathbf{E}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a prime $p > 3$ we recall (1) and define the angle $\psi(\mathbf{E}; p) \in [0, \pi]$ via the identity

$$p + 1 - \#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = 2\sqrt{p}\, \cos\psi(\mathbf{E}; p). \tag{5}$$

(we also define $\psi(\mathbf{E}; p)$ arbitrary, say as $\psi(\mathbf{E}; p) = 0$ if $p \mid 4a^3 + 27b^2$). For $0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \pi$, we denote by $\Pi^{\mathsf{ST}}(\mathbf{E}, \alpha, \beta; x)$ the number of primes $p \leqslant x$ (with $p \nmid 4a^3 + 27b^2$) for which $\alpha \leqslant \psi(\mathbf{E}; p) \leqslant \beta$. Then, the *Sato–Tate conjecture* asserts that if $\mathbf{E}$ does not have complex multiplication, then the asymptotic formula

$$\Pi^{\mathsf{ST}}(\mathbf{E}, \alpha, \beta; x) \sim \mu_{\mathsf{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) \frac{x}{\log x}, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{6}$$

holds, where

$$\mu_{\mathsf{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sin^2\gamma \, d\gamma, \tag{7}$$

is the *Sato–Tate density*, see [8, 22, 27]).

The method of Fouvry and Murty [17] is based on bounds of exponential sums; it is quite universal and has been applied to a number of related questions, see [1, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 20, 21, 33, 34]. In particular, using this method, it is easy to show that

$$\frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathsf{ST}}_{a,b}(t; x) \sim \mu_{\mathsf{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) \frac{x}{\log x}, \qquad x \to \infty, \tag{8}$$

in the same range (4), where as before we define

$$\Pi^{\mathsf{ST}}_{a,b}(t; x) = \Pi^{\mathsf{ST}}(\mathbf{E}_{a,b}, t; x).$$

Note that Taylor [36] has recently given a complete proof of (6) (except for the curves $\mathbf{E}$ with integral j-invariant), but this does not imply any results on average due to the lack of uniformity with respect to the coefficients a and b in the Weierstraß equation.

Recently, a different approach has been suggested in [6], which is based on bounds of multiplicative character sums, see also [5, 34] for further application of this approach. We remark that the original purpose of [6] has been to improve some results on the *Sato–Tate* conjecture for the curves $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}$ on average, and obtain (8) in a wider range than (4), namely under the conditions

$$AB \geqslant x^{1+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{A, B\} \geqslant x^{\varepsilon}, \tag{9}$$

see also [3, 4] for some other approaches.

1.2 Our Results

Here we show that the ideas of [6] can also be used to extend (3) and (8) to more general families of curves.

Let us fix two polynomials $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ that are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Here we consider the family of curves $\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(b)}$ and obtain analogues of the asymptotic formulas (3) and (8) for these curves, that is, we obtain asymptotic formulas for the average values

$$\frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a|\leqslant A} \sum_{|b|\leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(a),g(b)}(t;x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a|\leqslant A} \sum_{|b|\leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha,\beta;x).$$

As usual for questions of this kind, our main concern is to keep the amount of averaging as little as possible and thus obtain these asymptotic formulas in the ranges comparable with those given by (4) and (9).

We note that the approach of Fouvry and Murty [17] does not seem to work for the families of curves $\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(b)}$.

We also study a one-parametric family of curves $\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(a)}$ with two polynomials f and g over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (satisfying some natural condition). Using a result of Michel [24] we show that for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sufficiently large prime p, the corresponding angles $\psi(\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(a)}, p)$ are distributed with the Sato–Tate density when a runs through consecutive integers of an interval of length at least $p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$.

Finally, we recall that upper bounds for $\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(\rho),g(\rho)}(t;x)$, on average when ρ runs through the set of Farey fractions of order Q, are given in [9, 10].

1.3 Notation

Throughout the paper, any implied constants in the symbols O and $\ll$ may occasionally depend, where obvious, on the polynomials f and g and the real parameters ε, but are absolute otherwise. We recall that the notations $U \ll V$ and $U = O(V)$ are both equivalent to the statement that the inequality $|U| \leqslant c\,V$ holds with some constant $c > 0$.

The letters p and q always denote prime numbers, while m and n always denote integers. As usual, we use $\pi(x)$ to denote the number of primes $p \leqslant x$.

2 Character Sums and Distribution of Power Residues

2.1 Character Sums

For a prime p, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_p$ the set of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_p$, χ_0 the principal character of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and $\mathcal{X}_p^* = \mathcal{X}_p \setminus \{\chi_0\}$ the set of nonprincipal characters; we refer the reader to [19, Chapter 3] for the necessary background on multiplicative characters. We recall the following orthogonality relations. For any integer $f \mid p-1$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$\frac{1}{f} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p \\ \chi^f = \chi_0}} \chi(v) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } v = w^f \text{ for some } w \in \mathbb{F}_p^*, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right. \tag{10}$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi_1(u)\overline{\chi}_2(u) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right. \tag{11}$$

for all $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathcal{X}_p$ (here, $\overline{\chi}_2$ is the character obtained from χ_2 by complex conjugation).

The following result is a special case of the Weil bound (see [19, Equations (12.23)])

Lemma 1. *For any prime p and polynomial $h(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ which is not a power of another polynomial modulo p, uniformly over all integers m and* *nontrivial multiplicative characters* χ *modulo* p*, we have*

$$\sum_{u=1}^{p} \chi(h(u)) \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{mu}{p}\right) \ll p^{1/2}.$$

Combining Lemma 1 with the standard reduction between complete and incomplete sums (see [19, Section 12.2]), we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2. *For any prime* p *and polynomial* $h(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ *which is not a power of another polynomial modulo* p*, uniformly over all positive integers* L, M *and nontrivial multiplicative characters* χ *modulo* p*, we have*

$$\sum_{n=L+1}^{L+M} \chi(h(n)) \ll (M/p+1)p^{1/2}\log p.$$

2.2 Distribution of Powers

Adapting the idea of Fouvry and Murty [17] we study the distribution of the pairs

$$\{(ru^4, su^6) \ : \ u \in \mathbb{F}_p\}, \tag{12}$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, among the residues modulo p of the polynomial values $(f(a), g(b))$ with $|a| \leqslant A$, $|b| \leqslant B$. However, instead of exponential sums, used in [17], we follow the approach of [6] and study the distribution of the pairs (12) using multiplicative character sums.

We also note that since the polynomials $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ that are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$, for a sufficiently large prime p they are not powers of a polynomial modulo p. Thus Lemma 2 applies to character sums with $f(T)$ and $g(T)$.

We begin by investigating the distribution of the second component su^6 of the pairs (12). Accordingly, we define

$$\mathscr{Z}_s(B;p) = \{(u,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \times [-B,B] \ : \ su^6 \equiv g(b) \pmod p, \ |b| \leqslant B\}.$$

We note that although we are usually only interested in the first component u, we defined $\mathscr{Z}_s(B;p)$ as a set of pairs (u,b), which essentially means that each u is taken with the mulitplicity of the the residue class su^6 amongst the elements of $[-B,B]$.

We have the following bound on the cardinality of $\mathscr{Z}_s(B;p)$:

Lemma 3. *For any prime p, integer $B \geqslant 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p) = 2B + O\left((B/p+1)p^{1/2+o(1)}\right)$$

as $p \to \infty$.

Proof. We now define

$$d_p = \gcd(p-1, 6).$$

By the orthogonality relation (10), for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\#\{u \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \ : \ u^6 \equiv n \pmod p\} = \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p \\ \chi^{d_p} = \chi_0}} \chi(n).$$

If $\overline{s}$ is an integer such that $s\overline{s} \equiv 1 \pmod p$, it follows that

$$\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p) = \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p \\ \chi^{d_p} = \chi_0}} \chi\left(\overline{s} g(b)\right) = 2B + O(1) + \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p^* \\ \chi^{d_p} = \chi_0}} \overline{\chi}(s) \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} \chi\left(g(b)\right).$$

Using Lemma 2 we conclude the proof. ☐

We now take into account the distribution of the first component ru^4 of the pairs (12). For any integers $A, B \geqslant 1$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we define the set of triples:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) = \{(u,a,b) \ : \ ru^4 \equiv & f(a) \pmod p, \\ & (u,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_s(B;p), \ |a| \leqslant A\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *For any prime p, integers $A, B \geqslant 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, we have*

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{2A\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}{p-1} \right|^2 \leqslant \left(\frac{A}{p}+1\right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{p}+1\right) Bp^{1+o(1)}$$

as $p \to \infty$.

Proof. Using (10) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) &= \sum_{(u,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)} \sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p} \chi(ru^4) \overline{\chi\left(f(a)\right)} \\ &= \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}{p-1} + \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}_p^*} \chi(r) \sum_{(u,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)} \chi(u^4) \sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \overline{\chi}\left(f(a)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) &- \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}{p-1} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{p-1}\left|\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\chi(r)\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\overline{\chi}\left(f(a)\right)\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_p^*}\left|\#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{4AB}{p-1}\right|^2 \ll W, \tag{13}$$

where

$$W = \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_p^*}\left|\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\chi(r)\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\overline{\chi}\left(f(a)\right)\right|^2.$$

Squaring out and changing the order of summation, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}W = \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}&\sum_{\chi_1,\chi_2\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\ \sum_{(u_1,b_1),(u_2,b_2)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi_1(u_1^4)\overline{\chi}_2(u_2^4) \\ &\sum_{|a_1|,|a_2|\leqslant A}\overline{\chi}_1\left(f(a_1)\right)\chi_2\left(f(a_2)\right)\sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_p}\chi_1(r)\overline{\chi}_2(r).\end{aligned}$$

Using the orthogonality relation (11) we deduce that

$$W = \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\left|\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\right|^2\left|\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\chi\left(f(a)\right)\right|^2.$$

Using Lemma 2, it follows that

$$W \leqslant (A/p+1)^2p^{o(1)}\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\left|\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\right|^2. \tag{14}$$

We now extend the summation in (14) to include the trivial character $\chi = \chi_0$. Then by the orthogonality relation (10), we have

$$\sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p^*}\left|\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\right|^2 \leqslant \sum_{\chi\in\mathcal{X}_p}\left|\sum_{(u,b)\in\mathcal{Z}_s(B;p)}\chi(u^4)\right|^2 = (p-1)T, \tag{15}$$

where T is the number of solutions to the congruence

$$u_1^4 \equiv u_2^4 \pmod p, \qquad (u_1, b_1), (u_2, b_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_s(B; p).$$

Obviously T does not exceed

$$u_1^{12} \equiv u_2^{12} \pmod p, \qquad (u_1, b_1), (u_2, b_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_s(B; p). \tag{16}$$

Since $su_j^6 \equiv g(b_j) \pmod p$ for some b_j with $|b_j| \leqslant B$, $j = 1, 2$, each solution to (16) leads to a congruence

$$g(b_1)^2 \equiv g(b_2)^2 \pmod p, \qquad |b_1|, |b_2| \leqslant B.$$

Therefore $T \ll B(B/p+1)$ (because each b_j corresponds to at most six values of u_j).

Thus recalling (14) and (15), we conclude the proof. $\square$

3 Elliptic Curves

3.1 Isomorphic Elliptic Curves

It is well known that if $a, b, r, s \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{E}_{r,s}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, that is, the curves $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{r,s}$ are *isomorphic over* $\mathbb{F}_p$, if and only if

$$a = ru^4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad b = su^6 \tag{17}$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. In particular, each curve $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is isomorphic to $(p-1)/2$ elliptic curves $\mathbf{E}_{r,s}$, and there are $2p + O(1)$ distinct isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$; see [23].

Therefore we see that a link between the distribution of ellipic curves of various types and sets $\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A, B; p)$ is given by the following trivial statement.

For an arbitrary set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$, we denote by $M_p(\mathcal{S}, A, B)$ the number of curves $\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(b)}$ such that the reduction modulo p of the pair $(f(a), g(b))$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}$, $a \in [-A, A]$ and $b \in [-B, B]$.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that for a prime $p > 3$ we are given a sets $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that whenever $(r, s) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{E}_{r,s}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ it follows that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for any integers $A, B \geqslant 1$, the following bound holds:*

$$M_p(\mathcal{S}, A, B) = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r,s) \in \mathcal{S}} \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A, B; p) + O\left(AB/p + A + B\right).$$

Proof. We estimate the contribution from the curves with

$$f(a)g(b)\left(4f(a)^3+27g(b)^2\right)\equiv 0 \pmod p$$

trivially as

$$O\left(\left(A/p+1\right)B+A\left(B/p+1\right)\right)\right)=O\left(AB/p+A+B\right).$$

We also note that if $a\equiv ru^4 \pmod p$ and $b\equiv su^6 \pmod p$ then each group $\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(b)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $|a|\leqslant A$ and $|b|\leqslant B$ is counted precisely $p-1$ times in the sum on the right-hand side. $\square$

3.2 Statistics of Elliptic Curves

Let $\mathcal{R}_p(t)$ be be the set of pairs $(r,s)\in\mathbb{F}_p^*\times\mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that

$$\#\mathbf{E}_{r,s}\left(\mathbb{F}_p\right)=p+1-t.$$

We recall the following well know estimate, see, for example, [23, Proposition 1.9].

Lemma 6. *For any fixed t,*

$$\mathcal{R}_p(t)\ll p^{3/2+o(1)}.$$

We also define

$$\mathrm{li}_{1/2}(x)=\int_2^x\frac{d\,z}{2z^{1/2}\log z}=(1+o(1))\,\frac{x^{1/2}}{\log x}.$$

By a result of David and Pappalardi [11, Equations (24) and (29)] (see also [2, Equations (2.1) and Lemma 3]) we have

Lemma 7. *For any fixed integer t, there exists a constant $C(t)>0$ such that for any fixed $C>0$*

$$\sum_{p\leqslant x}\frac{1}{p^2}\#\mathcal{R}_p(t)=C(t)\mathrm{li}_{1/2}(x)+O\left(x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-C}\right).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha,\beta)$ be the set of pairs $(r,s)\in\mathbb{F}_p^*\times\mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that the inequalities $\alpha\leqslant\psi_{r,s}(p)\leqslant\beta$ hold, where the angles

$$\psi_{r,s}(p)=\psi(\mathbf{E}_{r,s};p)$$

are given by (5). It is natural to expect that

$$\#\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha,\beta)\sim\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)p^2$$

as $p\to\infty$, where $\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)$ is given by (7), which is known as the Sato–Tate conjecture in *the vertical aspect*. It has been established by Birch [8] (see also [25]), but here we require a stronger result What is needed is a full analogue for the Sato–Tate density of the bound of Niederreiter [31] on the discrepancy of the distribution of values of Kloosterman sums. Fortunately, such a result can be obtained using the same methods since all of the underlying tools, namely [31, Lemma 3] and [22, Theorem 13.5.3], apply to $\psi_{r,s}(p)$ as well as to values of Kloosterman sums. In particular, from [22, Theorem 13.5.3] it follows that

$$\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\sum_{\substack{r,s\in\mathbb{F}_p^*\\4r^3+27s^2\neq0}}\frac{\sin\left((n+1)\psi_{r,s}(p)\right)}{\sin\left(\psi_{r,s}(p)\right)}\ll np^{-1/2},\qquad n=1,2,\ldots\,, \tag{18}$$

(see also the work of Fisher [16, Section 5]). Thus, as in [31], we have:

Lemma 8. *For any prime p, we have*

$$\max_{0\leqslant\alpha<\beta\leqslant\pi}\left|\#\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha,\beta)-\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)p^2\right|\ll p^{7/4}.$$

Michel [24, Proposition 1.1] gives a version of (18) for one parametric polynomial families of curves, where the sums is also twisted by additive characters.

Lemma 9. *For any prime p and uniformly over all integers m, for any polynomials $f(T),g(T)\in\mathbb{Z}[T]$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\substack{a\in\mathbb{F}_p\\4f(a)^3+27g^2(a)\not\equiv0\pmod p}}\frac{\sin\bigl((n+1)\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\bigr)}{\sin\bigl(\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\bigr)}\exp\left(2\pi i\frac{mu}{p}\right)\ll np^{-1/2},$$

for $n=1,2,\ldots$.

Again, using the standard reduction between complete and incomplete sums (see [19, Section 12.2]), we see that Lemma 9 implies the following result.

Lemma 10. *For any prime p, integer $A \geqslant 1$ and polynomials $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{|a| \leqslant A \\ 4f(a)^3+27g^2(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}} \frac{\sin\left((n+1)\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\right)}{\sin\left(\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\right)} \ll np^{-1/2},$$

for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{f,g,p}(A;\alpha,\beta)$ be the set of integers a with $|a| \leqslant A$ and such that the inequalities $\alpha \leqslant \psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p) \leqslant \beta$ hold, where, as before, the angles

$$\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p) = \psi(\mathbf{E}_{f(a),g(a)}; p)$$

are given by (5).

Applying the technique of Niederreiter [31] we instantly obtain the following analogue of Lemma 8.

Lemma 11. *For any prime p, positive integer $A < p/2$ and polynomials $f(T), g(T) \in Z[T]$ such that $4f(T)^3 + 27g(T)^2$ is not identical to zero, we have*

$$\max_{0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \pi} |\#\mathcal{T}_{f,g,p}(A;\alpha,\beta) - 2\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)A| \ll A^{1/2}p^{1/4}.$$

Proof. By [31, Lemma 3] we see that for any integer k

$$\max_{0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \pi} |\#\mathcal{T}_{f,g,p}(A;\alpha,\beta) - 2\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)A|$$
$$\ll \frac{A}{k} + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{\substack{|a| \leqslant A \\ 4f(a)^3+27g^2(a) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}} \frac{\sin\left((n+1)\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\right)}{\sin\left(\psi_{f(a),g(a)}(p)\right)} \right|.$$

Applying Lemma 10 and choosing $k = \lceil A^{1/2}p^{-1/4} \rceil$, we obtain the desired bound. □

We note that Lemma 11 is a generalisation of [25, Theorem 1.4] that corresponds to the case of $A = (p-1)/2$ that follows directly from Lemma 9 applied with $m = 0$.

4 Main Results

4.1 General Estimate

We now have the following general results which can be applied to various families of elliptic curves. We formulate it in a more general form that we need for applications to the Lang-Trotter or Sato–Tate conjectures.

Let us define

$$E_\vartheta(U,V;z) = UVz^{-1/2-\vartheta/2} + UV^{1/2}z^{-\vartheta/2} + UVz^{-1} + Uz^{1/2-\vartheta} + U \\ + Vz^{1/2-\vartheta/2} + V^{1/2}z^{1-\vartheta/2}.$$

Theorem 12. *Suppose that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that for a prime $p > 3$ we are given a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^* \times \mathbb{F}_p^*$ of cardinality*

$$\#\mathcal{S} \leqslant p^{2-\vartheta+o(1)}$$

as $p \to \infty$, for some absolute constant $\vartheta \geqslant 0$, and such that whenever $(r,s) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbf{E}_{r,s}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ it follows that $(a,b) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for any integers $A, B \geqslant 1$, the following bound holds:

$$\left| M_p(\mathcal{S},A,B) - \frac{4AB\#\mathcal{S}}{(p-1)^2} \right| \leqslant E_\vartheta(U,V;p)p^{o(1)},$$

where

$$U = \max\{A,B\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad V = \min\{A,B\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $A \geqslant B$. Using Lemma 5, we derive

$$M_p(\mathcal{S},A,B) - \frac{4AB\#\mathcal{S}}{(p-1)^2} \\ \ll \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathcal{S}} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{4AB}{p-1} \right| + \frac{AB}{p} + A + B.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3 (and since $A \geqslant B$) we see that

$$M_p(\mathcal{S},A,B) - \frac{4AB\#\mathcal{S}}{(p-1)^2} \\ \ll \Delta + ABp^{-1/2-\vartheta+o(1)} + Ap^{1/2-\vartheta+o(1)} + ABp^{-1} + A, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathcal{S}} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B,p)}{p-1} \right|.$$

By the Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 &\ll \frac{\#\mathcal{S}}{p^2} \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathcal{S}} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B,p)}{p-1} \right|^2 \\ &\leqslant p^{-\vartheta+o(1)} \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathcal{S}} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B,p)}{p-1} \right|^2 \\ &\leqslant p^{-\vartheta+o(1)} \sum_{r,s\in\mathbb{F}_p^*} \left| \#\mathcal{Z}_{r,s}(A,B;p) - \frac{2A\#\mathcal{Z}_s(B,p)}{p-1} \right|^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 4 for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, we obtain

$$\Delta^2 \ll \left(\frac{A}{p}+1\right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{p}+1\right) Bp^{2-\vartheta+o(1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\Delta \leqslant ABp^{-1/2-\vartheta/2+o(1)} + AB^{1/2}p^{-\vartheta/2+o(1)} + Bp^{1/2-\vartheta/2+o(1)} + B^{1/2}p^{1-\vartheta/2+o(1)}.$$

Recalling (19) and using that

$$ABp^{-1/2-\vartheta/2} > ABp^{-1/2-\vartheta},$$

we obtain

$$\left| M_p(\mathcal{S},A,B) - \frac{4AB\#\mathcal{S}}{(p-1)^2} \right| \leqslant E_\vartheta(A,B;p)p^{o(1)}.$$

It is also easy to see that the roles of A and B can be interchanged in all previous arguments which concludes the proof. □

In all our applications in this paper we have $\vartheta \leqslant 1/2$, in which case $UVz^{-1/2-\vartheta/2} \geqslant UVz^{-1}$ and $Uz^{1/2-\vartheta} \geqslant U$, thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}E_\vartheta(U,V;z) \ll UVz^{-1/2-\vartheta/2} + UV^{1/2}z^{-\vartheta/2} &+ Uz^{1/2-\vartheta} \\ &+ Vz^{1/2-\vartheta/2} + V^{1/2}z^{1-\vartheta/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

4.2 The Lang–Trotter Conjecture on Average

We now derive the following generalisations of (3).

Theorem 13. *Suppose that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that positive integers A and B are such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\max\{AB^{1/2}, A^{1/2}B\} \geqslant x^{5/4+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{A, B\} \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a|\leqslant A} \sum_{|b|\leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(a),g(b)}(t;x) = (C(t) + o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}.$$

for some constant $C(t) > 0$ depending only on t.

Proof. In the notations of Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{|a|\leqslant A} \sum_{|b|\leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(a),g(b)}(t;x) = \sum_{p\leqslant x} M_p(\mathcal{R}_p(t), A, B).$$

Assume that $A \geqslant B$. Now from Theorem 12 applied with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}_p(t)$ (thus $\vartheta = 1/2$ by Lemma 6, so we can also use (20)), we derive

$$\sum_{|a|\leqslant A} \sum_{|b|\leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(a),g(b)}(t;x) - 4AB \sum_{p\leqslant x} \frac{\mathcal{R}_p(t)}{(p-1)^2} \leqslant \left(ABx^{1/4} + AB^{1/2}x^{3/4} + Ax + Bx^{5/4} + B^{1/2}x^{7/4}\right) x^{o(1)},$$

as $x \to \infty$.

By Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 (taken with, say, $C = 2$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p\leqslant x} \frac{\#\mathcal{R}_p(t)}{(p-1)^2} &= \sum_{p\leqslant x} \#\mathcal{R}_p(t) \left(\frac{1}{p^2} + O\left(p^{-3}\right)\right) \\
&= \sum_{p\leqslant x} \frac{1}{p^2} \#\mathcal{R}_p(t) + O(1) \\
&= C(t)\mathrm{li}_{1/2}(x) + O\left(x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (disregarding the term $ABx^{1/4}$, which is obviously smaller than the contribution from the error term in the previous formula), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\sum_{|b|\leqslant B}&\Pi^{\mathtt{LT}}_{f(a),g(b)}(t;x) - 4ABC(t)\mathrm{li}_{1/2}(x)\\ &\ll \left(AB^{1/2}x^{3/4} + Ax + Bx^{5/4} + B^{1/2}x^{7/4}\right)x^{o(1)} + ABx^{1/2}(\log x)^{-2}\\ &\quad\ll ABx^{1/2+o(1)}\left(B^{-1/2}x^{1/4} + B^{-1}x^{1/2} + A^{-1}x^{3/4} + A^{-1}B^{-1/2}x^{5/4}\right)\\ &\qquad\qquad + ABx^{1/2}(\log x)^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $A \geqslant B$, we have $A^{3/2} \geqslant AB^{1/2} \geqslant x^{5/4+\varepsilon}$. Thus $A \geqslant x^{5/6+2\varepsilon/3}$, and after simple calculations, we obtain the desired result in the case $A \geqslant B$.

In the case $A < B$ the proof is completely analogous. ☐

Since $\max\{AB^{1/2}, A^{1/2}B\} \geqslant (AB)^{3/4}$ we can replace the first condition of Theorem 13 with $AB \geqslant x^{5/3+\varepsilon}$.

4.3 The Sato–Tate Conjecture on Average

We now use Theorem 12 to obtain a generalisation of (8) and (9).

We recall that the Sato–Tate density $\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta)$ is given by (7).

Theorem 14. *Suppose that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are not powers of another polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that positive integers A and B are such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\max\{AB^{1/2}, A^{1/2}B\} \geqslant x^{1+\varepsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{A,B\} \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Then for all real numbers $0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \pi$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4AB}\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\sum_{|b|\leqslant B}\Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha,\beta;x) = \left(\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha,\beta) + O\left(x^{-\delta}\right)\right)\pi(x),$$

where $\delta > 0$ depends only on ε.

Proof. In the notations of Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{|a|\leqslant A}\sum_{|b|\leqslant B}\Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha,\beta;x) = \sum_{p\leqslant x} M_p(\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha,\beta), A, B).$$

Assume that $A \geqslant B$. Now from Theorem 12 applied with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ (thus $\vartheta = 0$ so we can also use (20)), we derive

$$\sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - 4AB \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha, \beta)}{(p-1)^2}$$
$$\leqslant \left(ABx^{1/2} + AB^{1/2}x + Ax^{3/2} + Bx^{3/2} + B^{1/2}x^2\right) x^{o(1)},$$

as $x \to \infty$. Since $A \geqslant B$ this simplifies as

$$\sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} \Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - 4AB \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{\mathcal{T}_p(\alpha, \beta)}{(p-1)^2}$$
$$\leqslant \left(ABx^{1/2} + AB^{1/2}x + Ax^{3/2} + B^{1/2}x^2\right) x^{o(1)}.$$

Using Lemma 8 (which contributes $ABx^{3/4+o(1)}$ to the error term and thus dominates the term $ABx^{1/2+o(1)}$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \sum_{|b| \leqslant B} & \Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - 4\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) AB \pi(x) \\ & \leqslant \left(ABx^{3/4} + AB^{1/2}x + Ax^{3/2} + B^{1/2}x^2\right) x^{o(1)} \\ & = ABx^{1+o(1)} \left(x^{-1/4} + B^{-1/2} + B^{-1}x^{1/2} + A^{-1}B^{-1/2}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

and after simple calculations, we obtain the desired result in the case $A \geqslant B$.

In the case $A < B$ the proof is completely analogous. $\square$

Since $\max\{AB^{1/2}, A^{1/2}B\} \geqslant (AB)^{3/4}$ we can replace the first condition of Theorem 14 with $AB \geqslant x^{4/3+\varepsilon}$.

Finally, from Lemma 11 we instantly obtain a result for one parametric families of elliptic curves.

Theorem 15. *Suppose that $f(T), g(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ are such that $4f(T)^3 + 27g(T)^2$ is not identical to zero. Assume that a positive integer A is such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$A \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Then for all real numbers $0 \leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \pi$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2A} \sum_{|a| \leqslant A} \Pi^{\mathtt{ST}}_{f(a),g(a)}(\alpha, \beta; x) = \left(\mu_{\mathtt{ST}}(\alpha, \beta) + O\left(x^{-\delta}\right)\right) \pi(x),$$

where $\delta > 0$ depends only on ε.

5 Comments

We remark that Theorem 15 may seem to imply Theorem 14 (that is, for every b with $|b| \leqslant B$ one can try to apply Theorem 15 to the corresponding family of curves). However, this is not the case as due to the uniformity issue with respect to b. We also note that Theorem 14 is just an example of several similar results that hold under the same conditions on A and B and describe the distribution of curves with special properties. As in [5, 6], these properties may include cyclicity, primality or divisibility of $\#E_{f(a),g(b)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by a given integer and several others. On the other hand, it is not clear how to obtain analogues of Theorem 15 for such questions.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Stephan Baier for very interesting discussions and the observation that the argument of his work [3] may allow us to obtain a version of Theorems 13 in a very large range of uniformity with respect to the parameter t.

This work was supported in part by ARC grant DP0881473.

References

[1] A. Akbary, C. David and R. Juricevic, 'Average distributions and products of special values of L-series', *Acta Arith.*, **111** (2004), 239–268.

[2] S. Baier, 'The Lang–Trotter conjecture on average', *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **22** (2007), 299–314.

[3] S. Baier, 'A remark on the Lang–Trotter conjecture', *Proc. Conf. "New Directions in the Theory of Universal Zeta- and L-Functions", Würzburg, Oct. 2008*, (to appear).

[4] S. Baier and L. Zhao, 'The Sato–Tate conjecture on average for small angles', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **361** (2009), 1811–1832.

[5] A. Balog, A. Cojocaru and C. David, 'Average twin prime conjecture for elliptic curves', *Amer. J. Math.*, **133** (2011), 1179–1229.

[6] W. D. Banks and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Sato–Tate, cyclicity, and divisibility statistics on average for elliptic curves of small height’, *The Israel J. Math.*, **173** (2009), 253–277.

[7] J. Battista, J. Bayless, D. Ivanov and K. James, ‘Average Frobenius distributions for elliptic curves with nontrivial rational torsion’, *Acta Arith.*, **119** (2005), 81–91.

[8] B. J. Birch, ‘How the number of points of an elliptic curve over a fixed prime field varies’, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 57–60.

[9] A. Cojocaru and C. Hall, ‘Uniform results for Serre’s theorem for elliptic curves’, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **2005** (2005), 3065–3080.

[10] A. Cojocaru and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Distribution of Farey fractions in residue classes and Lang–Trotter conjectures on average’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008), 1977–1986.

[11] C. David and F. Pappalardi, ‘Average Frobenius distributions of elliptic curves’, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **1999**, 165–183.

[12] C. David and F. Pappalardi, ‘Average Frobenius distribution for inerts in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$’, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **19** (2004), 181–201.

[13] N.D. Elkies, ‘Supersingular primes of a given elliptic curve over a number field’, *PhD thesis*, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 1987.

[14] N. D. Elkies, ‘The existence of infinitely many supersingular primes for every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$’, *Invent. Math.*, **89** (1987), 561–567.

[15] N. Elkies, ‘Distribution of supersingular primes’, *Astérisque, J. Arithmétiques de Luminy, 1989*, **198–200** (1991), 127–132.

[16] B. Fisher, ‘Equdistribution theorems (d’après P. Deligne amd N. Katz)’, in *Columbia University Number Theory Seminar (New York, 1992)*, Astérisque, vol. 228, Soc. Math. France, 1995, 69–79.

[17] É. Fouvry and M. R. Murty, ‘On the distribution of supersingular primes’, *Canad. J. Math.*, **48** (1996), 81–104.

[18] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1979.

[19] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[20] K. James, 'Average Frobenius distributions for elliptic curves with 3-torsion', *J. Number Theory*, **109** (2004), 278–298.

[21] K. James and G. Yu, 'Average Frobenius distribution of elliptic curves', *Acta Arith.*, **124** (2006), 79–100.

[22] N. M. Katz, *Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.

[23] H. W. Lenstra, 'Factoring integers with elliptic curves', *Ann. of Math.*, **126** (1987), 649–673.

[24] P. Michel, 'Rang moyen de familles de variétés abéliennes', *J. Algebraic Geometry*, **6** (1997), 201–234.

[25] S. J. Miller and M. R. Murty, 'Effective equidistribution and the Sato-Tate law for families of elliptic curves', *J. Number Theory*, **131** (2011), 25–44.

[26] M. R. Murty, V. K. Murty and N. Saradha, 'Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem', *Amer. J. Math.*, **110** (1998), 253–281.

[27] V. K. Murty, 'On the Sato–Tate conjecture', it Number Theory Related to Fermat's Last Theorem, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982, 195–205.

[28] V. K. Murty, 'Explicit formulae and the Lang-Trotter conjecture' *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **15** (1985), 535–551.

[29] V. K. Murty, 'Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem, II', *Analytic number theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., v.247, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, 287–308,

[30] V. K. Murty and J. Scherk, 'Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem for function fields', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, **319** (1994), 523–528.

[31] H. Niederreiter, 'The distribution of values of Kloosterman sums', *Arch. Math.*, **56** (1991), 270–277.

[32] J.-P. Serre, ‘Queques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev’, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, no. 54, 1981, 123–201.

[33] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Exponents of modular reductions of families of elliptic curves’, *Rev. Unión Matem. Argentina*, **50** (2009), 69–74.

[34] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the Sato–Tate conjecture on average for some families of elliptic curves’, *Forum Math.*, (to appear).

[35] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[36] R. Taylor, ‘Automorphy for some l-adic lifts of automorphic mod l representations, II’, *Pub. Math. IHES*, **108** (2008), 183–239.

[37] D. Q. Wan, ‘On the Lang-Trotter conjecture’, *J. Number Theory*, **35** (1990), 247–268.